





DATE MAILED: 08/31/2004

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/755,858	01/05/2001		Lawrence Yium-Chee Chiu	ARC920000054US1	3691
22462	7590	08/31/2004		EXAMINER	
GATES &			MCLEAN MAYO, KIMBERLY N		
HOWARD HUGHES CENTER 6701 CENTER DRIVE WEST, SUITE 1050 LOS ANGELES, CA 90045			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2187	10	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



	<b>—</b> ;	
•	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/755,858	CHIU ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Kimberly N. McLean-Mayo	2187
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timed within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nety filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
<ul> <li>1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 Ap</li> <li>2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This</li> <li>3) Since this application is in condition for allower closed in accordance with the practice under E</li> </ul>	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-4,6-12,14-20 and 22-24 is/are pend 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-4,6-12,14-20 and 22-24 is/are reject 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration. ted.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the Eddrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 03/21/2002.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	

Art Unit: 2187

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. In view of the Appeal Brief filed on April 13, 2004, PROSECUTION IS HEREBY REOPENED. A new ground of rejection is set forth below.

To avoid abandonment of the application, appellant must exercise one of the following two options:

- (1) file a reply under 37 CFR 1.111 (if this Office action is non-final) or a reply under 37 CFR 1.113 (if this Office action is final); or,
  - (2) request reinstatement of the appeal.

If reinstatement of the appeal is requested, such request must be accompanied by a supplemental appeal brief, but no new amendments, affidavits (37 CFR 1.130, 1.131 or 1.132) or other evidence are permitted. See 37 CFR 1.193(b)(2).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1, 4, 7, 9, 12, 15, 17, 20 and 23 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Menon et al. (USPN: 5,574,882) in view of Schimmel, <u>UNIX Systems</u> for Modern Architectures Symmetric Multiprocessing and Caching for Kernel <u>Programmers.</u>

Regarding claims 1, 4, 17 and 20, Menon discloses a method of updating parity data in a RAID clustered environment comprising locking parity data, without communicating

Art Unit: 2187

with other nodes, for data managed in SCSI (small computer system interface) disks in a RAID clustered system (Figure 1; C 4, L 42; C 4, L 36; C 6, L 1-46), wherein locking prevents other nodes from modifying the parity (C 6, L 24-26); reading the parity data (C 6, L 27-28); generating new parity data by exclusive oring data from a first node and a second node (C 6, L 29-30); writing the parity data to a SCSI disk in the RAID system (C 6, L 31-44) and unlocking the parity wherein the unlocking and the writing steps are combined (C 6, L 45-46). Menon does not explicitly disclose combining the commands for writing and unlocking into a single command. However, Schimmel teaches the concept of combining commands (read command, write command, modify command) into a single command (read-modify-write instruction –Page 158-160; Section 8.3.3). This feature taught by Schimmel provides accuracy and efficiency by allowing separate sequential operations to be performed uninterrupted (atomically) and without having to re-obtain access of the bus for each separate command function by using a special instruction which combines three separate commands into one. Additionally, this feature provides efficiency and reduces command processing time since any delays associated with receiving the commands separately is omitted (there is no wait time to receive the next command, commands are combined and sent together) and since the device responsible for sending the commands is relieved of sending so many commands given that plural commands are sent at the same time by combining the commands, thereby allowing the device to perform other tasks. Hence, one of ordinary skill in the art would have recognized the benefits of Schimmel's teachings and would have been motivated to use these teachings with the teachings of Menon for the desirable purpose of efficiency, reduced command processing time and accuracy.

Art Unit: 2187

Additionally, with respect to claim 17, Menon discloses an article of manufacture, embodying logic to perform the above method steps of updating parity data in a RAID clustered environment (C 19, L 42-55; C 20, L 1-26).

Regarding claims 7 and 23, Menon discloses a RAID 5 system (C 2, L 48-51).

Regarding claims 9 and 12, Menon discloses an apparatus (Figure 1) for updating parity data in a RAID clustered environment comprising a plurality of SCSI storage devices in a RAID clustered system (Figure 1, Reference 20); data stored in the plurality of SCSI storage devices (inherent); a first node, (host), operatively coupled to the SCSI storage devices, that manages storage and retrieval of the data in the data storage devices, wherein the first node is configured to lock parity data, without communicating with other nodes, wherein locking prevents other nodes from modifying the parity (C 6, L 24-26); reading the parity data (C 6, L 27-28); generating new parity data by exclusive oring data from a first node (new data from host) and a second node (old data stored in the storage device) (C 6, L 29-30); writing the parity data to a SCSI disk in the RAID system (C 6, L 31-44) and unlocking the parity (C 6, L 45-46). Menon does not explicitly disclose combining the commands for writing and unlocking into a single command. However, Schimmel teaches the concept of combining commands (read command, write command, modify command) into a single command (read-modify-write instruction -Page 158-160; Section 8.3.3). This feature taught by Schimmel provides accuracy and efficiency by allowing separate sequential operations to be performed uninterrupted

Art Unit: 2187

(atomically) and without having to re-obtain access of the bus for each separate command function by using a special instruction which combines three separate commands into one. Additionally, this feature provides efficiency and reduces command processing time since any delays associated with receiving the commands separately is omitted (there is no wait time to receive the next command, commands are combined and sent together) and since the device responsible for sending the commands is relieved of sending so many commands given that plural commands are sent at the same time by combining the commands, thereby allowing the device to perform other tasks. Hence, one of ordinary skill in the art would have recognized the benefits of Schimmel's teachings and would have been motivated to use these teachings with the teachings of Menon for the desirable purpose of efficiency, reduced command processing time and accuracy.

Claim 15 is rejected for the same rationale applied to claim 7 above.

4. Claims 6, 14 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Menon et al. (USPN: 5,574,882) in view of Schimmel, <u>UNIX Systems for Modern Architectures Symmetric Multiprocessing and Caching for Kernel Programmers.</u>

as applied to claims 1, 9 and 17 above and further in view of IBM Technical Disclosure Bulletin "Limited Distributed DASD Checksum".

Menon and Schimmel disclose the limitations cited above in claims 1, 9 and 17, however,
Menon and Schimmel do not disclose a RAID 4 system. The IBM Technical Disclosure
Bulletin discloses a RAID 4 system (Figure 1). Additionally, the IBM Technical
Disclosure Bulletin discloses that adding or removing units to a RAID 4 system is

Art Unit: 2187

relatively simple because the change does not affect the other units (Lines 14-16). Hence, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use a RAID 4 system in the system taught by Menon and Schimmel for the desirable purpose of simplification (providing a simpler means for adding or removing units to the RAID system).

- 5. Claims 8, 16 and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over in Menon et al. (USPN: 5,574,882) in view of Schimmel, <u>UNIX Systems for Modern Architectures Symmetric Multiprocessing and Caching for Kernel Programmers.</u>
  as applied to claims 1, 9 and 17 above and further in view of Lyons (USPN: 6,101,615).
  Menon and Schimmel disclose the limitations cited above in claims 1, 9 and 17, however, Menon and Schimmel do not disclose a RAID 6 system. Lyons discloses a RAID 6 system (Figure 5). Lyons discloses that a RAID 6 system provides improved data protection by providing two parity drives (C 1, L 49-50). Hence, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use a RAID 6 system in the system taught by Menon and Schimmel for the desirable purpose of increased data protection and reliability.
- 6. Claims 2-3, 10-11 and 18-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Menon in view of (USPN: 5,574,882) and Schimmel, <u>UNIX Systems</u> for Modern Architectures Symmetric Multiprocessing and Caching for Kernel Programmers as applied to claims 1, 9 and 17 above and further in view of Ofer (USPN: 5,892,955).

Art Unit: 2187

Menon and Schimmel disclose the limitations cited above in claims 1, 9 and 17, however, Menon and Schimmel do not explicitly disclose the locking step comprising issuing a RESERVE command nor the unlocking step comprising issuing a RELEASE command. Ofer teaches that the standard SCSI RESERVE command is used to reserve/lock data storage (C 1, L 27-30). Also, Ofer discloses a SCSI system wherein the SCSI RELEASE command is used to unlock the locked storage system. The system taught by Menon and Schimmel is a SCSI storage system, which means the system has a RESERVE and a RELEASE command. Hence, it would be obvious to use the RESERVE command to lock the parity and to use the RELEASE command to perform the unlocking for the desirable purpose of simplification and efficiency. Using an already existing command, prevents the need to develop new designs and/or implementations to perform the locking and unlocking functionality. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use the RESERVE command to lock parity and to use the RELEASE command to unlock parity for the desirable purpose of efficiency and simplification.

## Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

## Conclusion

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Islam – 5,530,948 – RAID systems using read-modify-write commands.

Art Unit: 2187

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kimberly N. McLean-Mayo whose telephone number is 703-308-9592. The examiner can normally be reached on M (10:00 - 6:30); Tues, Thr (10:00 - 4:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Donald Sparks can be reached on 703-308-1756. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business

Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

SEMADY EYAMINED

Kimberly N. McLean-Mayo

Examiner

Art Unit 2187

**KNM** 

August 21, 2004